arXiv:0812.2062v2 [math.DG] 15 Dec 2009

A new formalism for the study of Natural Tensor Fields of type (0,2) on Manifolds and Fibrations.

Guillermo Henry
Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN,
Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Argentina.

Abstract. In order to study tensor fields of type (0,2) on manifolds and fibrations we introduce a new formalism that we called *s-space*. With the help of these objects we generalized the concept of natural tensor without making use of the theory of natural operators and differential invariants.

Keywords: Natural tensor fields · Fibrations · General connections · Riemannian manifolds

Mathematics Subject Clasification (2000): 53C20 · 53B21 · 53A55 · 55R10

1 Introduction.

In [9], Kowalski and Sekizawa defined and characterized the *natural tensor fields* of type $(0,2)$ on the tangent bundle TM of a manifold M. More precisely, let $\tilde{g}$ be a metric on TM which cames from a second order natural transformation of a metric g on M. Then there are natural $F-metrics$ ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 (i.e. a bundle morphism of the form $\xi : TM \oplus TM \oplus TM \longrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ linear in the second and in the third argument) derived from g, such that $\tilde{g} = \xi_1^{s,g} + \xi_2^{h,g} + \xi_3^{v,g}$ with ξ_1 and ξ_3 symmetric , where $\xi_1^{s,g}, \xi_2^{h,g}$ and $\xi_3^{v,g}$ are the classical Sasaki, horizontal and vertical lift of ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 respectively. Also Kowalski and Sekizawa [10] study the *natural tensor fields* on the linear frame bundles of a manifold endowed with a linear connection.

In [2], Calvo and Keilhauer showed that given a Riemannian manifold (M, g) any $(0,2)$ tensor field on TM admits a global matrix representation. Using this one to one relationship, they defined and characterized what they called *natural tensor*. In the symmetric case this concept coincide with the one of Kowalski and Sekizawa. Keilhauer [7] defined and characterized the tensor fields of type $(0,2)$ on the linear frame bundle of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a linear connection. The *natural tensors* on the tangent and cotangent bundle of a semi Riemannian manifold was characterized by Araujo and Keilhauer in [1]. The idea of all these works ([1],[2] and [7]) is to lifted to a suitable fiber bundle a tensor field on the tangent bundle, cotangent bundle and linear frame bundle respectively, so that to look at them as a global matricial maps. The principal difference with the works [9] and [10] is that they do not make use of the theory of differential invariant developed by Krupka [11], (see also [8] and [12]).

The aim of this work is generalized the notion of natural tensor fields in the sense of [1],[2] and [7] to manifolds and fibrations. With this purpose we introduce the concept of *s-space*. In Section 2, we define and give some examples of *s-spaces*. We also see general properties of *s-spaces*, for example that there exist a one to one relationship between the tensor fields of type $(0, 2)$ and some types of matricial maps. This relationship allows us to study the tensor fields in the sense of [2]. We characterize the *s-spaces* which its group acts without fixed point. We study some general statement of *morphisms of s-spaces* and tensor fields on manifolds in Section 3. In Section 4, we define *connections* on *s-spaces* (that agree with the well known notion of connection when the *s-space* is also a principal fiber bundle). We give a condition that a *s-space* endowed with a connection has to satisfies to has a parallelizable space manifold. Also, help by a *connection* we show an useful way of lift metrics on the manifold to the space manifold of the *s-space*. The concept of *s-space* gives several notions of naturality. The $\lambda - natural$ and $\lambda - natural$ tensors with respect to a fibration are define in section 5. We also give examples and we see that these notions extend that one of [1],[2] and [7]. In Section 7 we define the notion of *atlas of s-spaces* and we use them to generalized the $\lambda - naturality$. In Section 8, we consider some $s - spaces$ over a Lie group and characterized the *natural* tensors fields on it. Finally, we study the bundle metrics on a principal fiber bundle endowed with a linear connection.

2 s-spaces.

Definition 1 *Let M be a manifold of dimension n. A collection $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ is called a s-space over M if:*

a) N be a manifold.

b) $\psi : N \longrightarrow M$ is a submersion.

c) O is a Lie group and R is a right action of the group O over N which is transitive in each fibers. The action also satisfies that $\psi \circ R_a = \psi$ for all $a \in O$.

d) $e_i : N \longrightarrow TM$, with $1 \leq i \leq n$, are differential functions such that $\{e_1(z), \dots, e_n(z)\}$ is a base of $M_{\psi(z)}$ for all $z \in N$.

If $\psi(z) = p$, then $\{e_1(z), \dots, e_n(z)\}$ and $\{e_1(z.a), \dots, e_n(z.a)\}$ are bases of M_p. Therefore there exists an invertible matrix $L(z, a)$ such that $\{e_i(z.a)\} = \{e_i(z)\}.L(z, a)$, (i.e. $e_i(z.a) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^l(z) L_i^l(z, a)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$). If the matrix L only depends of the parameter of the Lie group O, we have a differentiable map

$$L : O \longrightarrow GL(n) \quad \text{such that} \quad \{e_i\} \circ R_a = \{e_i\}.L(a)$$

that we called *the base change morphism of the s-space* λ. It easy to see that L is a group morphism. In this case we said that λ have a *rigid base change.* From now on, we will consider only this class of s-spaces.

In the sequel, unless otherwise stated, $\dim M = n$, $\dim O = k$ and we will denote the Lie algebra of O by $\mathfrak{o}$. Also, we assume that all tensor are of type $(0,2)$.

Example 2 *Let LM be the frame bundle of a manifold M. LM induce a s-space $\lambda = (LM, \pi, GL(n), (\ \cdot\ ), \{\pi_i\})$ over M, where π is the projection of the bundle, $(\ \cdot\ )$ is the natural action of the general linear group over LM and $\pi_i(p,u) = u_i$. The base change morphism is $L(a) = a$ for all $a \in GL(n)$. This example shows that every manifolds admits at least one s-space. For simplicity of notation, let us denote this s-space by LM too. If we consider a Riemannian metric on M or an orientation, then the bundle of orthonormal frames and the bundle of orientated bases induced similar s-spaces over M.*

Example 3 *Let $\alpha = (P, \pi, G, \ \cdot\ )$ be a principal fiber bundle over M, and ω be a connection on α. Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ where*

a) $N = \{(p,u,w) : p \in P, u \text{ is a base of } M_{\pi(p)} \text{ and } w \text{ is a base of } \mathfrak{g}\}$

b) $\psi(p,u,w) = p$.

c) $O = GL(n) \times GL(k)$ *and* $R_{(a,b)}(p,u,w) = (p, u.a, w.b)$

d) For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, $e_i(p,u,w)$ is the horizontal lift with respect to ω of u_i at p and $e_{n+j}(p,u,w)$ is the only vertical vector on P_p such that $\omega(p)(e_{n+j}(p,u,w)) = w_j$.

λ is a s-space over P and it's base change morphism is given by $L(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 4 *This example can be found in [7]. Let M be a manifold and ∇ be a linear connection on it. Let $K : TTM \longrightarrow TM$ be the connection function induced by ∇ (i.e. K is the unique function that satisfies: for $v \in M_p$, $K\mid_{TM_v} : TM_v \longrightarrow M_p$ is a surjective linear map and for any vector field Y on M such that $Y(p) = v$, we have that $K(Y_{*_p}(w)) = \nabla_w Y$). For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, consider the 1-forms θ^i and ω^i_j defined by $\pi_{*_{(p,u)}}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta^i(p,u)(b)u_i$ and $K((\pi_j)_{*_{(p,u)}}(b)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega^i_j(p,u)(b)u_i$. Let $\lambda = (LM \times GL(n), \psi, GL(n), R, \{H_i, V^i_j\})$ where $\psi(p,u,b) = (p, u.b)$, the action is $R_a(p,u,b) = (p, u.a, a^{-1}b)$ and $\{H_i, V^i_j\}$ is dual to $\{\theta^i, \omega^i_j\}$. λ is a s-space over the frame bundle of M with base change morphism $L(a) \equiv Id_{n\times n}$.*

The importance of the s-spaces for the study of the tensors on manifolds is given by the following proposition:

Proposition 5 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M and L be the base change morphism of λ. There is a one to one correspondence between tensor fields of type $(0,2)$ on M and differentiable maps ${}^\lambda T : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ that satisfy the invariance property*

$$ {}^\lambda T \circ R_a = (L(a))^t . {}^\lambda T . L(a) $$

Proof. Let T be a tensor on M. Consider the matrix function ${}^\lambda T : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ defined by $[{}^\lambda T(z)]^i_j = T(\psi(z))(e_i(z), e_j(z))$. For $a \in O$, we have that the (i,j) entry of the matrix ${}^\lambda T(z.a)$ is $[{}^\lambda T(z.a)]^i_j = T(\psi(z.a))(e_i(z.a), e_j(z.a)) = T(\psi(z))(\sum_{r=1}^n e_r(z) L(a)^r_i, \sum_{s=1}^n e_s(z) L(a)^s_j)$ $= \sum_{r,s=1}^n L(a)^r_i . {}^\lambda T(z)^r_s . L(a)^s_j$, hence ${}^\lambda T$ satisfies the invariance property. Let $F : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be a differentiable function that satisfies the invariance property, we are going to show that there exists a unique tensor T on M such that ${}^\lambda T = F$. If X is a vector field on M, then it induce a map ${}^\lambda X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ where $X(\psi(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(z) e_i(z)$. It is easy to check that ${}^\lambda X \circ R_a =^\lambda X . [L(a)^t]^{-1}$. Then, we define $T(p)(X,Y) =^\lambda X(z) . F(z) . ({}^\lambda Y(z))^t$ where $\psi(z) = p$. Consider z and $\bar z$ such that $\psi(z) = \psi(\bar z) = p$. Since O acts transitively on the fibers of N, there exists $a \in O$ that satisfies $\bar z = z.a$. Therefore, ${}^\lambda X(\bar z) . F(\bar z) . ({}^\lambda Y(\bar z))^t =^\lambda X(z) . (L(a)^t)^{-1} . L(a)^t . {}^\lambda F(z) . L(a) . (L(a))^{-1} ({}^\lambda Y(z))^t = \ {}^\lambda X(z) . F(z) . ({}^\lambda Y(z))^t$, what it prove that T it is well defined. Given X and Y vector fields on M, $T(X,Y) : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function because $T(X,Y) \circ \psi$ is differentiable and ψ is a submersion. Since T is $\mathcal{F}(M)$-bilinear, we conclude that T is a tensor of type (0,2) on M. Finally, it is clear that ${}^\lambda T = F$.

□

Theorem 6 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M, such that O acts without fixed point (i.e. if $z.a = a$ then $a = e$), then (N, ψ, O, R) its a principal fiber bundle over M.*

Let us denote by $z \sim z'$ the equivalence relation induced by the action of the group O on the manifold N. To prove the previous Theorem we will need the following next two lemmas.

Lema 7 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M. Then N/O has differentiable manifold structure and $\pi : N \longrightarrow N/O$ is a submersion.*

Proof. Consider the map $\rho : N \times N \longrightarrow M \times M$ defined by $\rho(z, z') = (\psi(z), \psi(z'))$. ρ is a submersion since ψ it is. Let the set $\bar\Delta = \{(z, z') : z \sim z'\}$ and Δ be the diagonal submanifold of $N \times N$. Since $z \sim z'$ if and only if $\psi(z) = \psi(z')$, we have that $\bar\Delta = \rho^{-1}(\Delta)$. Therefore $\bar\Delta$ is a closed submanifold of $N \times N$. It is well know (see for example [3]) that if a group O acts on a manifold N, N/O has a structure of differentiable manifold such that the canonical projection π is a submersion if and only if $\bar\Delta$ is a closed submanifold of $N \times N$. In this case, the differentiable structure of N/O is unique.

□

Lema 8 *Under the hypotheses of the previous lemma:*

- *i)* *N/O is diffeomorphic to M.*
- *ii)* $\ker \pi_* = \ker \psi_*$.

Proof. Let $f : N/O \longrightarrow M$ defined by $f([z]) = \psi(z)$. By definition $f \circ \pi = \psi$, then f is differentiable and $\ker \pi_* \subseteq \ker \psi_*$. In the other hand, let $g : M \longrightarrow N/O$, defined by $g(p) = \pi(z)$ where $z \in N$ satisfies that $\psi(z) = p$. Since O acts transitively on the fibers of N, g is well defined. As $\pi = g \circ \psi$ we have that g is a differentiable function and that $\ker \psi_* \subseteq \ker \pi_*$. An easy verification shows that $g \circ f = Id_{N/O}$ and $f \circ g = Id_M$.

$\square$

Remark 9 *If $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ is a s-space over M, then (N, ψ, O, R) is a principal fiber bundle over N/O.*

Proof of Theorem 6. It remains to prove that (N, ψ, O, R) satisfies the local triviality property, (i.e. all $p \in M$ has an open neighbour U on M, and a diffeomorphism $\tau : \psi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U \times O$ such that $\tau = (\psi, \phi)$, where $\phi(z.a) = \phi(z).a$ for all $a \in O$). Let $p \in M$, take $[z_0] \in N/O$ such that $f([z_0]) = p$. As (N, ψ, O, R) is a principal fiber bundle over N/O, there exist an open neighbour V of $[z_0]$ and a diffeomorphism $\bar{\tau} = (\pi(z), \bar{\phi}(z))$ such that satisfy the local triviality property. $U = f(V)$ is an open neighbour of p on M, since f is a diffeomorphism, and it satisfies that $\psi^{-1}(U) = \pi^{-1}(V)$. Finally, if we define $\tau : \psi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U \times O$ by $\tau(z) = (\psi(z), \bar{\phi}(z))$, U and τ satisfy the local triviality property on p.

$\square$

Remark 10 *Note that there exist s-spaces that are not principal fiber bundles. For example, let $\lambda = (\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}), pr_1, GL(n), R, \{e_i\})$ over R^n, where $pr_1(p, q) = p$, $R_a(p, q) = (p, q.a)$ and $e_i(p, q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}|_p$ is the base of $\mathbb{R}^n_p$ induced by the canonical coordinate system of $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

If we say that a s-space $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ over M is a principal fiber bundle, we want to say that (N, ψ, O, R) is a principal fiber bundle over M.

We denote by $S_z = \{a \in O : z.a = z\}$ the stabilizer's group of the action R at z. It is well know that, if for a point $z \in N$ the orbit $z.O$ is locally closed (i.e. if $w \in z.O$, there exist an open neighbour V of w on N, such that $V \cap z.O$ is a closed set of V), then $z.O$ is a submanifold of N and $f_z([a]) = z.a$ is a diffeomorphism between O/S_z and $z.O$, see [3].

Proposition 11 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M, then*

- *i)* *There exists $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\dim S_z = s$ for all $z \in N$.*
- *ii)* $\dim N = \dim M + \dim O - s$.

Proof. Let $z \in N$ and $\psi(z) = p$. That $\dim N = \dim \ker \psi_{*_z} + \dim M$ and $\dim \ker \psi_{*_z} = \dim \psi^{-1}(p)$ follow from the fact that ψ is a submersion. Note that $z.O = \psi^{-1}(p)$, since O acts transitively on the fibers. As $\psi^{-1}(p)$ is locally closed, we have $\dim O/S_z = \dim \psi^{-1}(p)$. Therefore, $\dim N = \dim M + \dim O - \dim S_z$ for all z, so $\dim S_z \equiv s$ is constant, which completes the proof.

□

Given a s-space λ over M, it will be very important to know the tensors on M that satisfy that ${}^{\lambda}T$ is a constant matrix. It is clear that not for every matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ there exists a tensor T on M such that ${}^{\lambda}T = A$. From proposition 5, we know that a necessary and sufficient condition for this happens is that $L(a)^t.A.L(a) = A$ for all $a \in O$. In that case, we said that λ *admits matrix representations of type A*. In the last part of the Section we show some conditions that a s-space has to satisfies to admits matrix representation of certain class of diagonal matrix. For $\nu = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, we denote by I_ν the following matrix of $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$

$$I_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & \\ & \overset{\nu}{\ddots} & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \overset{n-\nu}{\ddots} & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } \nu \geq 1 \text{ and } I_0 = Id_{n\times n}$$

With O_ν we denote the orthonormal group of index ν. If $\nu = 0$ then $O_0 = O(n)$.

Proposition 12 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M with base change morphism L. If $0 \leq \nu \leq n-1$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *i)* $Img(L) \subseteq O_\nu$.
- *ii)* λ *admits matrix representations of type* I_ν.
- *iii) There is a semi-Riemannian metric on M of signature ν such that $\{e_1(z), \ldots, e_n(z)\}$ is an orthonormal base of $M_{\psi(z)}$ for all $z \in N$.*
- *iv) There exists a tensor T on M that satisfies ${}^{\lambda}T(z) = I_\nu$ for all $z \in \psi^{-1}(p_0)$ and for a $p_0 \in M$.*

Proof. *i)* $\Longrightarrow$ *ii)* Consider the constant map $F \equiv I_\nu$. Since F satisfies the invariance property, it follows from the Proposition 5 the existence of a tensor that satisfies ${}^{\lambda}T = I_\nu$. *ii)* $\Longrightarrow$ *iii)* If ${}^{\lambda}T = I_\nu$, then T is a semi-Riemannian metric of index ν and $T(\psi(z))(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = [I_\nu]^i_j$. *iii)* $\Longrightarrow$ *iv)* is immediately. *iv)* $\Longrightarrow$ *i)* Let $a \in O$ and z_0 such that $\psi(z_0) = p_0$, then $I_\nu = I_\nu(z_0.a) = L(a)^t.I_\nu.L(a)$ for all $a \in O$.

□

The next Proposition is a consequence of the fact that $O(m) \cap O_\nu = \{D \in O(m) : D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ *con* $A \in O(\nu)$ *y* $B \in O(m-\nu)\}$.

Proposition 13 *Let* $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ *be a s-space over* M *with base change morphism* L *and* $1 \leq \nu \leq n-1$. λ *admits matrix representation of type* I_0 *and* I_ν *if and only if there exist differentiable functions* $L_1 : O \longrightarrow O(\nu)$ *and* $L_2 : O \longrightarrow O(n-\nu)$ *such that*

$$L(a) = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(a) & 0 \\ 0 & L_2(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 14 *Let* $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ *be a s-space over* M *with* O *connected.* λ *admits matrix representations of type* I_ν *for all* $0 \leq \nu \leq n-1$ *if and only if* λ *admits matrix representation of type* A*, for all constant matrix* $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Proof. If λ admits matrix representations of type $I_0,\ I_1,\ \ldots, I_\nu$, from the proposition above we have that $L(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & & & \\ & \overset{\nu}{\ddots} & & \\ & & \pm 1 & \\ & & & l(a) \end{pmatrix}$ with $l(a) \in O(n-\nu)$. Since L is differentiable and $L(ab) = L(a).L(b)$, we see that $L(a) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{\nu \times \nu} & 0 \\ 0 & f(a) \end{pmatrix}$. If $\nu = n$, then $L \equiv I_{n \times n}$ and the proposition follows.

□

3 Morphisms of s-spaces.

Definition 15 *Let* $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ *and* $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e_i'\})$ *be s-spaces over* M*. We call a pair* (f, τ) *a morphism of s-spaces between* λ *and* λ' *if*

a) $f : N \longrightarrow N'$ *be differentiable.*

b) $\tau : O \longrightarrow O'$ *is a morphism of Lie groups.*

c) $\psi' \circ f = \psi$.

d) $f(z.a) = f(z).\tau(a)$ *for all* $z \in N$ *and* $a \in O$.

Note that if λ and λ' are principal fiber bundles, (f, τ) is a principal bundle morphism between them.

Example 16 *Let* $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ *be a s-space over* M *and* LM *the s-space induced by the frame bundle of* M*. Consider the pair* $(\Gamma, L) : \lambda \longrightarrow LM$*, where* $\Gamma(z) = (\psi(z), e_1(z), \ldots, e_n(z))$ *and* L *is the base change morphism of* λ*, then* (Γ, L) *is a morphism of s-spaces.*

Remark 17 *Let λ and λ' be s-spaces over M and $(f,\tau):\lambda\longrightarrow\lambda'$ be a morphism between them. If λ' is a principal fiber bundle and τ is injective, then λ is a principal fiber bundle.*

Remark 18 *It is easy too see that if τ is surjective then f is also surjective. If O' acts without fixed point, then we have that τ is surjective if and only if f is surjective; the injectivity of τ implies that of f; and if τ is bijective then so is f. If O and O' act without fixed point, then f is injective if and only if τ is it.*

Let $(f,\tau):\lambda\longrightarrow\lambda'$ be a morphism of s-spaces. As $\psi'(f(z))=\psi(z)$ we have that $\{e'_i(f(z))\}$ and $\{e_i(z)\}$ are bases of $M_{\psi(z)}$. Therefore, there exists $C(z)\in GL(n)$ that satisfies $\{e'_i(f(z))\}=\{e_i(z)\}.C(z)$. We called to the function $C:N\longrightarrow GL(n)$ *the linking map of* (f,τ). For example the linking map of the morphism given in Example 16 is $C(z)=Id_{n\times n}$. Let λ be a s-space over M with base change morphism L and $a_0\in O$. Consider $(f,\tau):\lambda\longrightarrow\lambda$ defined by $f(z)=R_{a_0}$ and $\tau(b)=Ad(a_0^{-1})(b)$, then $C(z)=L(a_0)$.

The linking map of a morphism (f,τ) satisfies that $C(z.a)=(L(a))^{-1}.C(z).L'(\tau(a))$, where L and L' are the base change morphism of λ and λ' respectively, and the relationship between two linking maps is given by $C_{(g,\gamma)}(z)=C_{(f,\tau)}(z).L'(a(z))$, where $a:N\longrightarrow O$ is a differentiable function.

Let $\lambda=(N,\psi,O,R,\{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M and consider $F:N\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$. We say that F comes from a tensor if there exists a tensor T on M such that ${}^{\lambda}T=F$. In this case, we say that F is the matrix representation (or the induced matrix function by) of T with respect to λ.

Proposition 19 *Let $\lambda=(N,\psi,O,R,\{e_i\})$ and $\lambda'=(N',\psi',O',R',\{e'_i\})$ are s-spaces over M with base change morphism L and L' respectively, and let $(f,\tau):\lambda\longrightarrow\lambda'$ be a morphism. If ${}^{\lambda'}T$ is the matrix representation of T with respect to λ', then ${}^{\lambda'}T\circ f$ comes from a tensor if and only if*

$$(L(a))^t.({}^{\lambda'}T\circ f)(z).L(a)=(L'(\tau(a)))^t.({}^{\lambda'}T\circ f)(z).L'(\tau(a))$$

for all $z\in N$ and $a\in O$.

Proof. If ${}^{\lambda'}T\circ f$ comes from a tensor, then it satisfies $({}^{\lambda'}T\circ f)(z.a)=(L(a))^t.{}^{\lambda'}(T\circ f)(z).L(a)$. So by definition, we have that ${}^{\lambda'}T(f(z.a))=L'(\tau(a)))^t.{}^{\lambda'}T(f(z)).L'(\tau(a))$. The other implication follows by a verification of the invariance property.

□

Remark 20 *Let T be a tensor on M. From the above Proposition it follows that until the k^{th} iteration of T by (f,τ) comes from a tensor on M if and only if $L^t.(C^t)^j.{}^{\lambda}T.C^j.L=(L'\circ\tau)^t.(C^t)^j.{}^{\lambda}T.C^j.(L'\circ\tau)$ for all $1\leq j\leq k$.*

Corollary 21 *The following sentences are equivalent:*

i) For all tensor T on M, ${}^{\lambda'}(T \circ f)$ comes from a tensor on M.

ii) $L' \circ \tau = \pm L$.

Proposition 22 *Let $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism of s-spaces and let T be a tensor on M then*

$$({}^{\lambda'}T \circ f)(z) = (C(z))^t.{}^{\lambda}T(z).C(z)$$

where C is the linking map of (f,τ).

Proof. $[({}^{\lambda'}T \circ f)(z)]^i_j = T(\psi'((f(z))))(e'_i(f(z)), e'_j(f(z))) =$

$$= T(\psi(z))(\sum_{r=1}^{m}(C(z))^r_i e_r(z), \sum_{s=1}^{m}(C(z))^s_j e_s(z)) = \sum_{r,s=1}^{m}(C(z))^r_i [{}^{\lambda}T(z)]^r_s.(C(z))^s_j$$

□

Definition 23 *Let $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism of s-spaces and T be a tensor on M. We say that T is invariant by (f,τ) if ${}^{\lambda'}T \circ f ={}^{\lambda} T$. Let us denote with $I_{(f,\tau)}$ the subspace of $\chi^0_2(M)$ given by the invariant tensors of (f,τ).*

For example, let λ be a s-space over M, if $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow LM$ is the morphism given in the Example 16, then $I_{(f,\tau)} = \chi^0_2(M)$. Given a s-space $\lambda = (N,\psi,O,R,\{e_i\})$ and $T \neq 0$, then there exists $a \in GL(n)$ and $z \in N$ such that $a^t.T(z).a \neq T(z)$. Therefore, if we consider the s-space $\lambda' = (N,\psi,O,R,\{e'_i\})$, where $\{e'_i\} = \{e_i\}.a$, we have that T is not an invariant tensor by the morphism (Id_N, Id_O).

Proposition 24 *Let $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism and T be a tensor on M. If there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the k^{th} iteration by (f,τ) of T is an invariant tensor, then T is an invariant tensor.*

Proof. Let us denoted by ${}^{\lambda}T^j$ and ${}^{\lambda'}T^j$ the matrix representation of the j^{th} iteration of T with respect to λ and λ' respectively. ${}^{\lambda}T^k ={}^{\lambda'} T^k \circ f = C^t.{}^{\lambda}T^k.C$, since the k^{th} iteration is an invariant tensor. On the other hand, ${}^{\lambda}T^k = ({}^{\lambda'}T^{k-1} \circ f) = C^t\ {}^{\lambda}T^{k-1}C = C^t.({}^{\lambda'}T^{k-2} \circ f).C = (C^t)^2.{}^{\lambda}T^{k-2}.C^2 = (C^t)^{k-1}.{}^{\lambda}T.C^{k-1}$, hence ${}^{\lambda}T = C^t.{}^{\lambda}T.C$. □

Let T be a tensor on M and $\lambda = (N,\psi,O,R,\{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M. For each $z \in N$, consider the lie subgroup of $GL(n)$ defined by $G_T(z) = \{D \in GL(n) : D^t.{}^{\lambda}T(z).D ={}^{\lambda} T(z)\}$. We call it the *group of invariance of T* at z. For simplicity of notation we write $G_T(z)$ instead of $G^{\lambda}_T(z)$ which is more convenient. In these terms, a tensor T is invariant by (f,τ) if and only if $C(z) \in G_T(z)$ for all $z \in N$.

If $\psi(z) = \psi(z')$ we have $G_T(z) \simeq G_T(z')$, because $\varphi_a : G_T(z') \longrightarrow G_T(z)$ defined by $\varphi_a(D) = L(a).D.L(a^{-1}) = Ad(L(a))(D)$ for $a \in O$ such that $z' = z.a$, is a homomorphism of Lie groups. We called the subset $F_T = \{(z,g) : z \in N$ and $g \in G_T(z)\}$ of $N \times GL(n)$ *the* *invariance set of* T. If there is a tensor T on M that admits a matrix representation of the form ${}^{\lambda}T = \alpha.Id_{n\times n}$, with $\alpha \neq 0$, then $F_T = N \times O(n)$. Let λ be the s-space of Example 4. If T is the tensor on LM that satisfies ${}^{\lambda}T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id_{m\times m} \\ -Id_{m\times m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ here $m = \frac{n+n^2}{2}$, then $F_T = LM \times GL(n) \times \mathcal{S}_m$ where $\mathcal{S}_m$ denotes the symplectic group of $\mathrm{I\!R}^{2m\times 2m}$. In general F_T does not has a manifold structure. The invariant tensor by a morphism $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ they are those that satisfy that $(z, C(z)) \in F_T$ for all $z \in N$.

Remark 25 *Let* $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ *be a morphism with linking map* C. *If* $T \in I_{(f,\tau)}$ *and* T *is non degenerated, then* $\det(C(z)) = \pm 1$ *for all* $z \in N$.

4 Connections on s-spaces.

Given $\lambda = (N, O, \psi, \mathrm{I\!R}, \{e_i\})$ a s-space over M, for $z \in N$ let us denote by V_z the vertical subspace at z induced by the projection ψ (i.e. $V_z = \ker \psi_{*_z}$). Note that $\dim V_z = k - s$ where s is the dimension of the stabilizer S_z and $k = \dim O$. As when we deal with fibrations (see [13]), we have a notion of connections for s-spaces.

Definition 26 *A connection on a s-space* λ *over* M *is* $(1,1)$ *tensor* ϕ *on* N *that satisfies:*

1) $\phi_z : N_z \longrightarrow V_z$ *is a linear map.*

2) $\phi^2 = \phi$, ϕ *is a projection to the vertical subspace.*

3) $\phi_{z.a}((R_a)_{*_z}(b)) = (R_a)_{*_z}(\phi(b))$.

Note that 3) has sense because $(R_a)_{*_z}(V_z) = V_{z.a}$.

We called to $H_z = \ker \phi_z$ the *horizontal subspace at* z. It is clear that $N_z = H_z \oplus V_z$. Since $\phi_{za}((R_a)_{*_z}(\phi(z)(b))) = (R_a)_{*_z}(\phi(z)(b)) = (R_a)_{*_z}(0) = 0$, $(R_a)_{*_z}(H_z) = H_{z.a}$. As in the case of connections in principal fiber bundles we have that: There is a connection ϕ on λ if and only if there exists a differentiable distribution on N ($z \longrightarrow H_z$) such that $N_z = H_z \oplus V_z$ and $H_{z.a} = (R_a)_{*_z}(H_z)$. If we have a distribution with these properties, we define $\phi(z)(b) = b^v$ where $b = b^h + b^v$.

Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M endowed with a connection ϕ, then we have the concept of *horizontal lift*.

Definition 27 *Let* $v \in M_p$ *and* $z \in \psi^{-1}(p)$. *We called horizontal lift of* v *at* z *to the unique vector* $v^h_z \in N_z$ *such that* $\psi_{*_z}(v^h_z) = v$ *and* $v^h_z \in H_z$.

Given a vector field X on N , let $H(X)$ a $V(X)$ the vector fields that satisfy that $H(X)(z) \in H_z$, $V(X)(z) \in V_z$ and $X(z) = H(X)(z) + V(X)(z)$ for all $z \in N$. We called $H(X)$ and $V(X)$ the *horizontal and the vertical projections* of X. Is easy to see that $H(X)$ and $V(X)$ are smooth vector fields if X is a smooth vector field.

Proposition 28 *Let X be a vector field on M. Then there exists a unique vector field X^h on N such that $X^h(z) \in H_z$ and $\psi_{*_z}(X^h(z)) = X(\psi(z))$ for all $z \in N$.*

Proof. Let $p_0 \in M$ and $z_0 \in N$ such that $\psi(z_0) = p_0$. As ψ is a submersion, there exist (U, x) and (V, y) centered at p_0 and z_0 respectively that satisfy $\psi(U) \subseteq V$ and $y \circ \psi \circ x^{-1}(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_m) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. If $X(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho^i(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mid_p$ for $p \in U$, let the vector field on V defined by $\tilde{X}_U(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\rho^i \circ \psi)(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid_z$, then we have that $\psi_*(\tilde{X}) = X \circ \psi$. For this reason, we can take an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of N such that for each U_i we have a field $\tilde{X}_i \in \chi(U_i)$ that satisfies the previous property. Let $\{\zeta_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a unit partition subordinate to the covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$. Consider the vector field $\tilde{X} \in \chi(N)$ given for $\tilde{X} = \sum_{i \in I} \zeta_i . \tilde{X}_i$. $\tilde{X}$ satisfies that $\psi_{*_z}(\tilde{X}(z)) = X(\psi(z))$ for all $z \in N$. Finally, $H(\tilde{X})$ is the vector fields that we looked for. The uniqueness follows from the fact that $\psi_{*_z} \mid_{H_z} : H_z \longrightarrow M_{\psi(z)}$ is an isomorphism.

□

Remark 29 *The horizontal distribution $z \longrightarrow H_z$ is trivial since $\{e_i^h(z) = (e_i(z))_z^h\}_{i=1}^n$ is a base of H_z for all $z \in N$ and $\{e_i^h\}_{i=1}^n$ are smooth vector fields.*

For all $z \in N$ we have defined the function $\sigma_z : O \longrightarrow N$ given by $\sigma_z(a) = z.a$. If $X \in \mathfrak{o}$, let $V(X)(z) = (\sigma_z)_{*_e}(X) \in V_z$, where e is the unit element of O. If the group O acts effectively and $X \neq 0$ is easy to see that V is not the null vector field. If O acts without fixed point, then $V(X)(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in N$ and $X \neq 0$. Anyway if $\{X_1, \cdots X_k\}$ is a base of $\mathfrak{o}$, then $\{V(X_1)(z), \cdots, V(X_k)(z)\}$ spanned V_z. It is not difficult to see that $\ker(\sigma_z)_{*_e} = T_e S_z$. Consider the 1-forms θ_i on N defined by $\psi_{*_z}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta^i(z)(b) e_i(z)$. $\{\theta^1(z), \cdots, \theta^n(z)\}$ are lineally independent and they are a base of the null space of the vertical subspace. Straightforward calculations show that the 1-forms θ_i satisfy that $L(a). \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1(z.a)((R_a)_{*_z}(b)) \\ \vdots \\ \theta^n(z.a)((R_a)_{*_z}(b)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1(z)(b) \\ \vdots \\ \theta^n(z)(b) \end{pmatrix}$ for all $z \in N$ and $a \in O$.

Proposition 30 *Let λ be a s-space over M such that exists a subspace $\tilde{V}$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ that satisfies $\dim \tilde{V} = k - s$ ($s = \dim S_z$) and $\tilde{V} \cap T_e S_z = \{0\}$ for all $z \in N$. If λ admits a connection, then the tangent bundle of N is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_{k-s}\}$ be a base of $\tilde{V}$, then the vertical vector fields $V_i(z) = (\sigma_z)_{*_e}(X_i)$ with $i = 1, \dots, k-s$ are a base of V_z for all $z \in N$. We have that $\{e_1^h, \dots, e_n^h, V_1, \dots, V_{k-s}\}$ trivialized the tangent bundle of N.

□

Remark 31 *With the same hypothesis of the Proposition, we a natural dual frame of N. For $i = 1, \dots, k-s$, let the 1-forms W^i on N defined by $\phi_z(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-s} W^i(z)(b) V_i(z)$. Then is easy to see that $\{\theta^1(z), \cdots, \theta^n(z), W^1(z), \cdots, W^{k-s}(z)\}$ is a base of N_z^* for all $z \in N$ and it is the dual base of $\{e_1^h(z), \cdots, e_n^h(z), V_1(z), \cdots, V_{k-s}(z)\}$.*

Remark 32 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M that is also a principal fiber bundle. Is well know that every principal fiber bundle admits a smooth distribution that is transversal to the vertical distribution and is invariant by the action of the group O, see [5], so there exists a connection on λ. On the other hand, the group O acts on N without fixed point and the hypothesis of the Proposition 30 are satisfied. Therefore, the tangent bundle of N is trivial.*

Remark 33 *Let G be a metric on N such that the maps R_a are isometries for all $a \in O$. If O is compact and N is a closed manifold, then N admits a metric with this property (see [5]). Let H_z be the subspace of N_z orthogonal to V_z. Is easy to see that $z \to H_z$ induces a connection on λ.*

Remark 34 *In the situation of Proposition 30, we can lift a metric G on M to a metric $\tilde{G}$ on N in a very natural way. Given G a Riemannian metric on M let*

$$\tilde{G} = \psi^*(G) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-s} W^i \otimes W^i.$$

$\tilde{G}$ is a metric on N and $\psi : (N, \tilde{G}) \longrightarrow (M, G)$ is a Riemannian submersion. To keep in mind the metric $\tilde{G}$ can be very useful. For example, using the fundamental equations of a Riemannian submersion [16] we can relate the curvature tensors of both metrics. Sometimes if we chose appropriately the s-space over M, we can simplify considerably the calculation of the curvature tensor of (M, G). This is the case when the base manifolds is the tangent bundle of a Riemnannian manifold. In [6], we use a s-space λ and the metric $\tilde{G}$ to compute the curvature tensor of the tangent bundle endowed with certain class of λ natural metrics with respect to the bundle.

Remark 35 *Let λ be a s-space over M and let ∇ be a linear connection on M with connection function K. Consider $K^i : TN \longrightarrow TM$ defined by*

$$K^i_z(b) = K\Big((e_i)_{*_z}(b)\Big)$$

and let $H_z = \{b \in N_z : K^i_z(b) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ i = 1, \ldots, n\}$. This smooth distribution is invariant by the group action but it is not necessary complementary to V_z. If $F_z : N_z \longrightarrow M_{\psi(z)} \times \overbrace{M_{\psi(z)} \times \ldots \times M_{\psi(z)}}^{n\ times}$ is given by $F_z(b) = (\psi_{*_z}(b), K^1_z(b), \ldots, K^n_z(b))$ it is not difficult to see that there are equivalent:

i) F_z is injective and $(M_{\psi(z)} \times 0 \times \ldots \times 0) \in Img\ F_z$.

ii) $N_z = H_z \oplus V_z$.

So if λ satisfies $i) - ii)$ we have that $z \to H_z$ induces a connection on λ. If G is a metric on M let the (0,2) symmetric tensor on N given by

$$\tilde{G}(A, B) = c(z)G(\psi_{*_z}(A), \psi_{*_z}(B)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(z) G(K^i(A), K^i(B))$$

where c, l_i are positive differentiable functions. If F is injective, the $\tilde{G}$ is a Riemmannian metric. If λ is the s-space LM and $c = 1$ and $l_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots n$, then $\tilde{G}$ is the well know Sasaki-Mok metric (see [15] and [4]).

5 Natural tensor fields

5.1 Natural tensor fields on fibrations.

In this section we will study certain class of tensors on a manifolds and fibrations. With a tensor T on a fibration we want to mean that T is a tensor on the space manifold of the fibration. If $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ is a fibration we will consider a particular class of s-spaces over P in order to take into account the structure of the fibration for the study of the tensors on it.

Definition 36 *Let $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ be a fibration on M and $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over P. We say that λ is a trivial s-space over α if $N = N' \times \mathbb{F}$.*

Example 37 *The s-space $\lambda = (LM \times GL(n), \psi, GL(n), R, \{H_i, V^i_j\})$ given in the example 4 is a trivial s-space over the frame bundle of M.*

Definition 38 *Let $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ be a fibration and $\lambda = (N \times \mathbb{F}, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a trivial s-space over α. We say that a tensor T on P is λ-natural with respect to α if ${}^{\lambda}T(z, w) = {}^{\lambda}T(w)$ (i.e. its matrix representation depends only of the parameter w of the fiber $\mathbb{F}$).*

Remark 39 *Let M be a manifold endowed with a linear connection ∇ and a Riemannian metric g. If we consider the s-spaces $\lambda = (LM \times GL(n), \psi, GL(n), R, \{H_i, V^i_j\})$ (Example 4) and $\lambda' = (O(M) \times GL(n), \psi, O(n), R, \{H_i, V^i_j\})$, where $O(M)$ is the manifold of orthonormal bases of (M, g) and the action of the orthonormal group and the projection are similar to that ones of λ, then the concept of $\lambda - natural$ and $\lambda' - natural$ with respect to $(LM, \pi, GL(n))$ agree with that ones of natural tensor with respect to the connection ∇ and with respect to the metric g given in [7].*

Remark 40 *There exist s-spaces such that the concept of $\lambda - natural$ with respect to the fibration agree with the known cases of naturality. So, our definition also generalizes the notion of natural tensor on the tangent and the cotangent bundle of a Riemannian (see [2] and Example 53) and semi-Riemannian manifold (see [1]).*

5.2 Natural tensor fields on manifolds.

In view of the definition of $\lambda - natural$ with respect to a fibration, it seems interesting to ask what it means to be $\lambda - natural$ with respect to a manifold. A manifold M can be view as a trivial fibration $\alpha_M = (M \times \{a\}, pr_1, \{a\})$ and there is a one to one correspondence between the s-spaces over λ and the trivial s-spaces over α. A s-space $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ over M induced the $\lambda' = (N \times \{a\}, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ over α. A tensor T on M induce a tensor T' on $M \times \{a\}$. Then T' is $\lambda'-natural$ with respect to a α if and only if ${}^{\lambda'}T'(z, a) ={}^{\lambda'} T'(a)$, therefore T' is $\lambda' - natural$ with respect to a α if and only if ${}^{\lambda}T$ is a constant map. This suggests the following definition:

Definition 41 *Let λ be a s-space over M and T a tensor on M. We say that T is $\lambda-natural$ if ${}^{\lambda}T$ is a constant map.*

Example 42 *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and let $\lambda = (O(M), \pi, O(n), \cdot, \{\pi_i\})$ the s-space over M induced by the orthonormal frame bundles of M. Since $L(a) = a$ for all $a \in O(n)$, T is $\lambda - natural$ if and only if ${}^{\lambda}T = k.Id_{n\times n}$, that is T is an scalar multiple of the metric g.*

Example 43 *Suppose that the map F of the Remark 35 is bijective. Let $\beta = (N, id_N, \{1\}, , (\ \cdot\ ), \{(e_i(z))^h, (e_j(z))_z^{v(i)}\})$ be the s-space over the space manifold of λ, where $\{1\}$ is the trivial group and $(\ \cdot\ )$ is the trivial action, $(e_i(z))^h$ is the horizontal lift of $e_i(z)$ at z and $(e_j(z))_z^{v(i)}$ satisfies that $K^i((e_j(z))_z^{v(i)}) = e_j(z)$. If G is a metric on M and $\tilde{G}$ is the generalizes Sasaki-Mok metric on N then*

$$
{}^{\beta}\tilde{G}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} [{}^{\lambda}G] & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & [{}^{\lambda}G] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & [{}^{\lambda}G] \end{pmatrix}
$$

so $\tilde{G}$ is β natural if and only if G is λ-natural.

Remark 44 *Let $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ be a fibration on M and λ a trivial s-space over α. λ is also a s-space over P. If a tensor T on P is $\lambda-natural$ then T is $\lambda-natural$ with respect to α. The converse implication not necessarily holds. Let $\lambda = (O(M) \times GL(n), \psi, O(n), R, \{H_i, V_j^i\})$ over LM, there are more $\lambda - natural$ tensors with respect to LM than constant maps, see [7].*

Remark 45 *Consider the s-space LM and let T be a $LM - natural$ tensor on M. Let $A \in R^{n\times n}$ such that ${}^{LM}T \equiv A$. Since the base change morphism of LM is the identity of $GL(n)$, $A = a^t.A.a$ for all $a \in GL(n)$, hence T must be the null tensor. Therefore, for a manifold M the null tensor is the only one that is $\lambda - natural$ for all the s-spaces over M.*

Remark 46 *If T is $\lambda - natural$, we have that $N \times Im(L) \subseteq F_T$ where $F_T = N \times G$ with G a subgroup of $GL(n)$.*

Let $\lambda = (N, O, \psi, \mathbb{R}, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M . Note that if T is $\lambda - natural$ and $(f, \tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda$ is a morphism of s-spaces then $T \in I_{(f,\tau)}$. In the other hand, if $T \in I_{(f,\tau)}$

for all (f,τ) automorphism of λ, then ${}^{\lambda}T$ is constant in each fiber of N. A necessary and sufficient condition for a tensor T to have a constant matrix representation in each fiber is that $T \in I_{(f_a,\tau_a)}$ for all $a \in O$, where (f_a,τ_a) is the morphism defined by $f_a(z) = R_a(z)$ and $\tau_a(b) = a^{-1}b.a$. Let us see some facts about the relationship between the natural tensors and the morphisms of s-spaces. The next two proposition follow from Proposition 22.

Proposition 47 *Let λ and λ' be two s-spaces over M and $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism with linking map C. If T is a $\lambda' - natural$ tensor with ${}^{\lambda'}T = A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, then T is $\lambda - natural$ if and only if $(C(z)^{-1})^t.A.C(z)^{-1}$ is a constant map.*

Proposition 48 *Let $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism of s-spaces with linking map C and T a tensor on M that is λ and $\lambda' - natural$. Let A and $B \in R^{n\times n}$ such that ${}^{\lambda}T = A$ and ${}^{\lambda'}T = B$, then $C(z)^t.A.C(z) = B$ for all $z \in N$.*

In particular, if $\lambda = \lambda'$ the image of the linking map of any automorphism have to be included in the group of invariance of all the $\lambda - natural$ tensors. For example, if $\lambda = (LM \times GL(n), \psi, GL(n), R, \{H_i, V^i_j\})$ and (f,τ) is an automorphism of λ with linking map C, then $C(z) = Id_{(n+n^2)\times(n+n^2)}$ for all $z \in LM \times GL(n)$.

Proposition 49 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ and $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e'_i\})$ be two s-spaces over M, $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ be a morphism of s-space, T a $\lambda' - natural$ tensor and let $A \in R^{n\times n}$ such that ${}^{\lambda'}T = A$. Then ${}^{\lambda'}T \circ f$ comes from a tensor on M if and only if $(L(a))^t.A.L(a) = A$ for all $a \in O$.*

Proof. Since T is $\lambda' - natural$, $(L'(a'))^t.A.L'(a') = A$ for all $a' \in O'$, then the Proposition follows from Proposition 19.

□

Remark 50 *There are tensors on M that are not $\lambda - natural$ for any λ s-space over M. Let T be a not null tensor on M, then there exists $p \in M$ such that $T(p) : M_p \times M_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not the null bilinear form. Let f be a differentiable function on M that satisfies $f(p) = 1$ and $f(q) = 0$ for a point q different of p and consider the tensor $\widetilde{T}$ defined by $\widetilde{T}(\xi) = f(\xi).T(\xi)$. If $\tilde{T}$ is $\lambda - natural$, then ${}^{\lambda}\tilde{T} \equiv A$ and since $\widetilde{T}(q) = 0$, A must be the zero matrix. But for $z' \in \psi^{-1}(p)$, we have that ${}^{\lambda}\widetilde{T}(z') = [\widetilde{T}(q)(e_i(z'), e_j(z'))] = f(p)[T(p)(e_i(z').e_j(z'))] \neq 0$, hence T is not $\lambda - natural$.*

Proposition 51 *Let T be a symmetric tensor on M with index and rank constant, then there is a s-space λ over M such that T is $\lambda - natural$.*

Proof. If $rank(T) = 0$ then T is the null tensor and T is $\lambda - natural$ for all λ. Suppose that $rank(T) = r \geq 1$ and $index(T) = r - s$. For every $p \in M$ there is a base $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ of M_p that diagonalizes the matrix of $T(p)$, that is

$[T(p)(v_i,v_j)] = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{s\times s} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -Id_{(r-s)\times(r-s)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = I_{sr}$. Let $\lambda = (N, \pi, O, \cdot, \{\pi_i\})$ where $N = \{(q,v) \in LM : [T(q)(v_i,v_j)] = I_{sr}\}$, $O = \begin{pmatrix} O(s) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & O(r-s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & GL(n-r) \end{pmatrix}$ and the action, the projection and the map $\{\pi_i\}$ are similar to those of LM. Then ${}^{\lambda}T = I_{sr}$ $\square$

6 Subs-spaces.

Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ and $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e'_i\})$ be s-spaces over M and N respectively and $h : M \longrightarrow M'$ be a differentiable function. Let $f : N \longrightarrow N'$ be a differentiable function and $\tau : O \longrightarrow O'$ a group morphism.

Definition 52 *We said that (f,τ) is a morphism of s-spaces over h if $f(z.a) = f(z).\tau(a)$ for all $z \in N$ and $a \in O$ and $\psi' \circ f = h \circ \psi$.*

This definition generalize the concept of morphism of s-spaces. If λ and λ' are s-spaces over M, and $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ is a morphism of s-spaces then (f,τ) is a morphism over Id_M.

Example 53 *Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and let $\lambda = (O(M)\times R^n, \psi, O(n), R, \{e_i\})$ the s-space over TM where the projection is defined by $\psi(p,u,\xi) = (p, \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\xi^i)$ and the action of the orthonormal group on $O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $R_a(p,u) = (p, u.a, \xi.a)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $e_i(p,u,\xi) = (\pi_{*_{\psi(p,u,\xi)}} \times K_{\psi(p,u,\xi)})^{-1}(u_i, 0)$ and $e_{n+i}(p,u,\xi) = (\pi_{*_{\psi(p,u,\xi)}} \times K_{\psi(p,u,\xi)})^{-1}(0, u_i)$, where K is the connection map induced by the Levi-Civita connection of g. Before we see an example of subs-space let us make a brief comment. The tensor on TM that are λ natural with respect to TM agree with the ones of Calvo-Keilhauer [2]. The Sasaki G_S and the Cheeger-Gomoll G_{cg} metric are $\lambda - natural$ with respect to TM. The matrix representation of the Sasaki metric and the Chegeer-Gromoll metric are ${}^{\lambda}G_S(p,u,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & Id_{n\times n} \end{pmatrix}$ and ${}^{\lambda}G_{cg}(p,u,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+|\xi|^2}(Id_{n\times n} + (\xi)^t.\xi) \end{pmatrix}$ respectively .*

Consider the s-space $\lambda' = (O(M), \psi', O(n-1), R', \{e'_i\})$ over the unitary tangent T_1M bundle of M, where $\psi'(p,u) = (p, u_n)$, The action of $O(n-1)$ on $O(M)$ is given by $R'_a(p,u) = (p, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i a_1^i, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i a_{n-1}^i, u_n)$. The maps $\{e'_i\}$ are defined by $e'_i(p,u) = (\pi_{*_{\psi(p,u)}} \times K_{\psi(p,u)})^{-1}(u_i, 0)$ if $1 \leq i \leq n$ and by $e'_{n+i}(p,u) = (\pi_{*_{\psi(p,u)}} \times K_{\psi(p,u)})^{-1}(0, u_i)$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $f : O(M) \longrightarrow O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tau : O(n-1) \longrightarrow O(n)$ defined by $f(p,u) = (p,u,v)$ where v is the n^{th} vector of the canonic base of R^n, and $\tau(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ is a morphism of s-spaces over the inclusion map of T_1M in TM.

Let M and M' be manifolds of dimension n and n' respectively. Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ and $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e'_i\})$ be s-spaces over M and M' and $(f,\tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda'$ a morphism of s-space over an inmersion $h : M \longrightarrow M'$. For every $z \in N$, $h_{*_{\psi(z)}}(M_{\psi(z)})$ is a subspace of dimension n of $M'_{\psi(f(z))}$ and it is generated by $\{h_{*_{\psi(z)}}(e_1(z)), \ldots, h_{*_{\psi(z)}}(e_n(z))\}$. As $\{e'_i(f(z))\}$ is a base of $M'_{\psi'(f(z))}$, for every $z \in N$ there exists a matrix $A(z) \in R^{n' \times n'}$ with $rank(A(z)) = n$ that satisfies

$$\{h_{*_{\psi(z)}}(e_1(z)), \ldots, h_{*_{\psi(z)}}(e_n(z)), \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{n'-n}\} = \{e'_1(f(z)), \ldots, e'_{n'}(f(z))\}.A(z)$$

In the previous example, $A(p,u) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{(2n-1)\times(2n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $M = M'$ and h is the identity map then (f,τ) is a morphism of s-spaces and $A(z) = C^{-1}(z)$ is C is the linking map of (f,τ).

In this situation, we have the following definition:

Definition 54 *λ is a subs-space of λ' if there exists a morphism of s-spaces (f,τ) over an injective inmersion $h : M \longrightarrow M'$ such that f is an inmersion and the map A induced by (f,τ) is constant. In this case, we said that λ is a subs-space of λ' with morphism (f,τ) over h. A s-space $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ is included in $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e'_i\})$ if $N \subseteq N'$.*

Example 55 *Let M be a parallelizable manifold , V a vectorial space and V' a subspace of V. Let $GL(V)$ the group of linear isomorphisms of V and $GL(V,V')$ the subgroup of linear isomorphisms of V with the property that $T(V') = V'$. Consider the s-space $\lambda = (M \times V, pr_1, GL(V), R_f, \{e_i\})$ over M, where the action is defined by $R_f(p,z) = (p, f(z))$ for $(p,z) \in M \times V$ and $f \in GL(V)$, and $e_i = \bar{e}_i \circ pr_1$ where $\{\bar{e}_1, \cdots, \bar{e}_n\}$ are the vector fields that trivialized the tangent bundle of M. If $\lambda' = (M \times V', pr_1, GL(V,V'), R_f, \{e_i\})$, then λ' is a subs-space of λ.*

Proposition 56 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ and $\lambda' = (N', \psi', O', R', \{e'_i\})$ be s-spaces over M such that λ is a subs-space of λ' with morphism (f,τ) over the identity map of M. If a tensor T on M is $\lambda' - natural$ then T is $\lambda - natural$.*

Proof. $[{}^{\lambda}T(z)]_{ij} = T(\psi(z))(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = T(\psi'(f(z)))(\sum_{l=1}^{n} e'_l(z)A^l_i, \sum_{s=1}^{n} e'_s(z)A^s_j) =$
$= \sum_{ls}^{n} A^l_i.A^s_j[{}^{\lambda'}T]_{ij}$, then ${}^{\lambda}T$ is a constant map.

□

Remark 57 *The converse statement does not holds in general. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and $O(M)$ be the s-space induced by the principal bundle of orthonormal frames. If $i_{O(M)} : O(M) \longrightarrow LM$ and $i_{O(n)} : O(n) \longrightarrow GL(n)$ are the respective inclusion, then $O(M)$ is a subs-space of LM with morphism $(i_{O(M)}, i_{O(n)})$ over the identity map of M. We known that there are $O(M) - natural$ tensors that are not $LM - natural$.*

Let T be a tensor on M and let ${}^{LM}T : LM \longrightarrow R^{n \times n}$ the matrix map induced by the s-space LM. Given a s-space $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ over M we have a morphism

$(\Gamma, L) : \lambda \longrightarrow LM$, see Example 16. It is clear that ${}^{\lambda}T =^{LM} T \circ \Gamma$, thus if T is $\lambda - natural$ then there exists a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ such that $Img\Gamma \subseteq ({}^{LM}T)^{-1}(A)$.

Proposition 58 *Let T be a tensor on M. There exists λ a s-space over M such that T is $\lambda - natural$ if and only if there exist a matrix $A \in R^{n\times n}$ and a subs-space of LM included in $({}^{LM}T)^{-1}(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose that T is $\lambda - natural$ ($\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$) and let $A \in R^{n\times n}$ such that ${}^{\lambda}T = A$. Let $\lambda' = (\Gamma(N), \pi, L(O), R', \{\pi_i\})$, where π, R' and $\{\pi_i\}$ are induced by LM. The map $\pi : \Gamma(N) \longrightarrow M$ is a submersion. Since $\pi(\Gamma(N)) = \psi(N) = M$, π is surjective. Let $p \in M$ and $z \in \psi^{-1}(p)$, then $\pi(\Gamma(z)) = p$. We are going to see that $\pi_{*_{\Gamma(z)}} : N_{\Gamma(z)} \longrightarrow M_p$ is surjective. Given $v \in M_p$ there exists $w \in N_z$ such that $\psi_{*_z}(w) = v$. Let α be a curve on N that satisfies $\alpha(0) = z$ and $\dot{\alpha}(0) = w$, then for $\beta(t) = \Gamma(\alpha(t))$ we have that $\beta(0) = \Gamma(z)$ and $\pi_{*_{\Gamma(z)}}(\dot{\beta}(0)) = D|_0(\pi(\beta(t))) = \psi_{*_z}(w) = v$. In the other hand, it is clear that $L(O)$ acts transitively on $\Gamma(N)$, so λ' is a s-space and it is a subs-space of LM with morphism $(i_{\Gamma(N)}, i_{L(O)})$ over the identity map of M.

Conversely, suppose that there exist $A \in R^{n\times n}$ and $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R\{e_i\})$ a s-space over M that is also a subs-space of LM with morphism (f, τ) over the identity map, and it holds that $f(N) \subseteq ({}^{LM}T)^{-1}(A)$. Since $\{e_i(z)\} = \{\pi_i(f(z))\}.B$ for $B \in GL(n)$, $[{}^{\lambda}T(z)] = [T(\psi(z))(e_i(z), e_j(z))] = B^t.[T(\psi(z))(\pi_i(f(z)), \pi_j(f(z)))].B = B^t.A.B$

□

7 Atlas of s-spaces.

Definition 59 *Let M be a manifold and let $\mathcal{A} : \{\lambda_i = (N_i, \psi_i, O_i, R_i, \{e_l\})\}_{i\in I}$ be a collection of s-spaces over M. The collection $\mathcal{A}$ is called an Atlas of s-spaces if for each pair $(i, j) \in I \times I$ there is a morphism of s-spaces $(f_{ij}, \tau_{ij}) : \lambda_i \longrightarrow \lambda_j$ such that $f_{ij} : N_i \longrightarrow N_j$ is a diffeomorphism.*

We said that the s-spaces λ and β are *compatible* if there exists a morphism $(f_{\lambda\beta}, \tau_{\lambda,\beta}) : \lambda \longrightarrow \beta$ and $(f_{\beta\lambda}, \tau_{\beta,\lambda}) : \beta \longrightarrow \lambda$ such that $f_{\lambda\beta}$ and $f_{\beta\lambda}$ are diffeomorphisms. Hence, an atlas is a set of compatible s-spaces over M. If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies that for an atlas $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ implies $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, we called it a *maximal atlas*. In other words, if λ is a s-space compatible with the s-spaces of $\mathcal{A}$ then $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$. If λ is a s-space over M let us notate with $\mathcal{A} =< \lambda >$ the maximal atlas generated by λ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a maximal atlas, it follows from the definition that $\mathcal{A} =< \lambda >$ for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that there are different maximal atlases over a manifold. Consider a metric on M, then $< LM >$ and $< O(M) >$ are maximal s-spaces but they are different because LM and $O(M)$ are not compatible.

Let λ be a s-space over M, then $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda\}$ is an atlas. Therefore the concept of atlas is a generalization of the notion of s-space.

Example 60 *Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ be a s-space over M and let $A : N \longrightarrow GL(n)$ be a differentiable function. Consider $\lambda_A = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_l^A\})$ where $e_l^A(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(z)A_l^i(z)$. The collection $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{F}(M)}$ is an atlas of s-spaces.*

Example 61 *Let M be a parallelizable manifold and $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ the vector fields that trivialized the tangent bundle of M. Let (N, g) be a Riemannian manifold such that its isometry group $I_{(N,g)}$ acts transitively on N. Let $\lambda_{(N,g)} = (M \times N, pr_1, I_{(N,g)}, R_f, \{H_i \circ pr_1\})$ where the action of $I_{(N,g)}$ on $M \times N$ is given by $R_f(z, p) = (z, f(p))$. If (N', g') is isometric to (N, g) then $\lambda_{(N,g)}$ is compatible with $\lambda_{(N',g')}$. If N' is not diffeomorphic to N then $< \lambda_{(N,g)} >$ and $< \lambda_{(N',g')} >$ are different maximal atlas of s-spaces over M.*

Definition 62 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two atlases of s-spaces over M and F a collection of morphisms of s-spaces from a s-space of $\mathcal{A}$ to a s-space of $\mathcal{B}$. F will be called a morphism between the atlas $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ if for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ there exist $(f, \tau) \in F$ such that $(f, \tau) : \lambda \longrightarrow \beta$.*

Remark 63 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two atlas over M, $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(f_0, \tau_0) : \lambda_0 \longrightarrow \beta_0$. Consider $F = \{f_{\beta_0\beta} \circ f_0 \circ f_{\lambda\lambda_0}, \tau_{\beta_0\beta} \circ \tau_0 \circ \tau_{\lambda\lambda_0},\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A},\ \beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ where $(f_{\beta_0\beta}, \tau_{\beta_0\beta}) : \beta_0 \longrightarrow \beta$ and $(f_{\lambda\lambda_0}, \tau_{\lambda\lambda_0}) : \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda_0$ are the morphisms that show the compatibility between β and β_0 and between λ and λ_0. F is morphism of atlases between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.*

Remark 64 *If λ is a s-space over M we have a canonical morphism $(\Gamma_\lambda, L_\lambda) : \lambda \longrightarrow LM$ (see Example 16), hence for every s-space λ we have a morphism between the atlases $< \lambda >$ and $< LM >$. It seems interesting to ask if this property characterized $< LM >$. In other words, if a s-space β satisfies that for every λ there exists a morphism $(f_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) : \lambda \longrightarrow \beta$ it has to be necessarily compatible with LM?*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \lambda \arrow[dl, "{(\Gamma_\lambda,L_\lambda)}"'] \arrow[dr, "{(f_\lambda,\tau_\lambda)}"] & \\
LM \arrow[rr, bend right, "{(f_{LM},\tau_{LM})}"'] & & \beta \arrow[ll, bend right, "{(\Gamma_\beta,L_\beta)}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The answer is no. Consider a parallelizable Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be orthonormal fields that trivialized the tangent bundle of M. If $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ is a s-space over M let $(f_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) : \lambda \longrightarrow O(M)$ defined by $f(z) = (\psi(z), H_1(\psi(z)), \ldots, H_n(\psi(z)))$ and $\tau(a) = Id_{n \times n}$. Therefore, for every maximal atlas $\mathcal{A}$ there is a morphism between it and $O(M)$, and we just know that $O(M)$ it is not compatible with LM.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{A} \arrow[dl, "F_{\mathcal{A},LM}"'] \arrow[dr, "F_{\mathcal{A},O(M)}"] & \\
< LM > \arrow[rr, bend right, "F_{LM,O(M)}"'] & & < O(M) > \arrow[ll, bend right, "F_{O(M),LM}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

But there are more atlases with this property. If (M, g) is an oriented manifold, the maxi-mal atlas generated by the s-space induced by the principal fiber bundles of orthonormal oriented bases $SL(M)$ have this property. The atlas $< (M, Id_M, \{1\}, R_1, \{H_i\}) >$, where R_1 is the trivial action, is another example.

Definition 65 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an atlas of s-spaces over M. A tensor T on M will be called $\mathcal{A} - natural$ if T is $\lambda - natural$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Note that the concept of $\mathcal{A} - naturality$ generalized the notion of $\lambda - naturality$. If we considerer the atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda\}$ then T is $\mathcal{A} - natural$ if and only if T is $\lambda - natural$.

Example 66 *Let λ be a s-space over M and consider the subatlas of the atlas given in the Example 60 defined by $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda_A\}_{A \in GL(n)}$. Then T is $\mathcal{A} - natural$ if and only if T is $\lambda - natural$. Let T be a $\lambda - natural$ tensor on M and $\mathcal{A}' = \{\lambda_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{F}(N, G_T)}$, then T is $\mathcal{A}' - natural$ and it has the same matrix representation in all the s-spaces of the atlas.*

Remark 67 *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal atlas then the unique $\mathcal{A} - natural$ tensor is the null tensor. Let $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\}) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in N$ and f^2 is not constant. If $\lambda' = (N, \psi, O, R, \{f.e_i\})$, hence $\lambda' \in \mathcal{A}$, but the null tensor is the only $\lambda - natural$ and $\lambda' - natural$ at the same time, therefore $T \equiv 0$ is the unique $\mathcal{A} - natural$ tensor.*

Definition 68 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an atlas of s-spaces over M and T a tensor on M. T is called $\mathcal{A} - weak\ natural$ if there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ such that T is $\lambda - natural$.*

If $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda\}$ or $\mathcal{A}$ is the atlas of Example 66, then the concept of $\mathcal{A} - natural$ and $\mathcal{A} - weak\ natural$ coincide.

For study the naturality of tensors on a fibration $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ it will be useful consider the atlases $\mathcal{A}$ such that all its s-spaces are trivial over α. An atlas with this property will be called a *trivial atlas* over α. The following definition is a generalization of the concept of naturality with respect to a fibration:

Definition 69 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a trivial atlas over a fibration $\alpha = (P, \pi, \mathbb{F})$ and T a tensor on P, then T is $\mathcal{A} - natural$ with respect to α if T is $\lambda - natural$ with respect to α for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Example 70 *Let $\alpha = (P, \pi, G, \cdot\ )$ be a principal fiber bundle on (M, g) endowed with a connection ω. For every $W = \{W_1 \cdots, W_k\}$ base of $\mathfrak{g}$ let $\lambda_W = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i^W\})$ where $N = \{(p, u, b) : p \in P,\ u$ is an orhonormal base of $M_{\pi(p)},\ b \in G\}$, $\psi(q, u, b) = q.b$, $O = O(n) \times G$ and the action R is defined by $R_{(h,a)}(q, u, b) = (qa, uh, a^{-1}b)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $e_i^W(p, u, g)$ is the horizontal lift of u_i with respect to ω at $p.g$ and for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $e_{n+j}(p, u, g)$ is the only one vertical vector on $P_{p.g}$ such that $\omega(p)(e_{n+j}(p, u, g)) = W_j$. $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda_W\}_{W \in L\mathfrak{g}}$ is a trivial atlas over α. An easy computation shows that the set of $\mathcal{A} - natural$ tensor with respect to α are all of those that there exists λ_W such that T has a matrix representation* *of the form* ${}^{\lambda_W}T(q,u,a) = \begin{pmatrix} f(a).Id_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & B(a) \end{pmatrix}$*, where* $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *and* $B : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$ *are differentiable functions.*

As above, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal trivial atlas over α the only $\mathcal{A}-$*natural* tensor with respect to α is the null tensor. So we have a weak definition of naturality for this case too. We said that T is $\mathcal{A}-$*weak natural* with respect to α if T is $\lambda-$*natural* with respect to α for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$.

8 Examples.

We conclude showing some examples of s-spaces:

8.1 Lie groups.

Let G be a Lie group of dimension k. We notated with e the unit of G. If $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a base of $\mathfrak{g}$, let H_i^v be the unique left invariant vector field on G such that $H_i^v(e) = v_i$. Then $\{H_1^v(g), \dots, H_n^v(g)\}$ is base of the tangent space of G at g.

Example 71 *Given* v *a basis of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, let* $\lambda^v = (N, \psi, G, R, \{e_i^v\})$ *be the s-space over* G *defined by* $N = G \times G$*,* $\psi(g,h) = g.h$*,* $R_a(g,h) = (g.a, a^{-1}.h)$ *and* $e_i^v(g,h) = H_i^v(g.h)$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq k$*. Like* $e_i^v \circ R_a(g,h) = e_i^v(g,h)$*, the base change morphism* L^v *is constantly the identity matrix of* $R^{k\times k}$*. Therefore, if* T *is a tensor on* G *it satisfies that*

$$^{\lambda^v}T \circ R_a =^{\lambda^v} T \ .$$

For this reason, all constant matricial maps come from a tensor, hence the $\lambda^v -$ *natural tensors are in a one to one relation with the matrices of* $R^{k\times k}$*.*

Suppose that ${}^{\lambda^v}T$ *depends only of one parameter, for example* ${}^{\lambda^v}T(g,h) =^{\lambda^v} T(h)$*, then* $[{}^{\lambda^v}T(g',h')]_{ij} = [{}^{\lambda^v}T(g'hh'^{-1},h')]_{ij} = T(g'h)(H_i^v(g'h), H_j^v(g'h)) = [{}^{\lambda^v}T(g',h)]_{ij} = [{}^{\lambda^v}T(g,h)]_{ij}$*, that is* T *is* $\lambda^v -$ *natural. Therefore,* T *is* $\lambda^v -$ *natural if and only if* T *is* ${}^{\lambda^v}T$ *depends only of one parameter. The left invariant metrics are tensors of this type.*

Let v' *be another base of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and consider* $\lambda^{v'}$*. If* $a_{vv'} \in GL(k)$ *is the matrix that satisfies* $v' = a_{vv'}v$*, then we have that* $e_i^{v'}(g,h) = e_i^v(g,h).a_{vv'}$ *and* ${}^{\lambda^{v'}}T = (a_{vv'})^t.{}^{\lambda^v}T.a_{vv'}$ *for a tensor* T *on* M*. Thus the set of* $\lambda^v -$ *natural tensors is independent of the choice of the base* v*. We can observe that* $(Id_{G\times G}, Id_G)$ *is a morphism of s-spaces with constant linking map* $a_{vv'}$*, so* $T \in I_{(Id_{G\times G}, Id_G)}$ *if and only if* $a_{vv'} \in G_T(g,h)$*.*

Example 72 *Let* $\lambda = \{N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\}\}$ *be the s-space over* G *defined by* $N = G \times L\mathfrak{g} = \{(g,v) : g \in G$ *and* v *is a base of* $\mathfrak{g}\}$*,* $\psi(g, v_1, \dots, v_n) = g$*,* $O = GL(n)$*,* $R_\xi(g,v) = (g, v.a)$ *and* $e_i(g,v) = H_i^v(g)$*. Since* $\{e_i\} \circ R_\xi = \{e_i\}.\xi$*,* ${}^{\lambda}T \circ R_\xi = \xi^t.{}^{\lambda}T.\xi$ *for all* $\xi \in GL(k)$*. Therefore, there is only one* $\lambda -$ *natural and is the null tensor.*

The left invariant metrics on G are not $\lambda - naturals$ but for a metric T on G we have that T is a left invariant metric if and only if ${}^{\lambda}T(g,v) =^{\lambda} T(v)$. If T is a left invariant metric, then

$$[{}^{\lambda}T(g,v)]_{ij} = T(g)((L_g)_{*_e}(v_i),(L_g)_{*_e}(v_j)) =$$

$$T(e)((L_{g^{-1}})_{*_g}((L_g)_{*_e}(v_i)),(L_{g^{-1}})_{*_g}((L_g)_{*_e}(v_i))) = T(e)(v_i,v_j) = [{}^{\lambda}T(e,v)]_{ij}.$$

Suppose that the matrix representation induced by T depends only of the parameter of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $g,h \in G$ and $w,v \in T_gG$ we have to see that $T(g)(v,w) = T(hg)((L_h)_{*_g}(v),(L_h)_{*_g}(w))$. Let $\{u_1,\dots,u_n\}$ be a base of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i(L_g)_{*_e}(u_i)$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(L_g)_{*_e}(u_i)$, then $(L_h)_{*_g}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i(L_{hg})_{*_e}(u_i)$ and $(L_h)_{*_g}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(L_{hg})_{*_e}(u_i)$. Hence,

$$T(hg)((L_h)_{*_g}(v),(L_h)_{*_g}(w)) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & ,\dots, & v_n \end{pmatrix}.{}^{\lambda}T(hg,u).\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix} = T(g)(v,w).$$

Let T be a tensor such that ${}^{\lambda}T(g,v)$ depends only of v. We know that ${}^{\lambda}T(g,v.\xi) = (\xi)^t.{}^{\lambda}T(e,v).\xi$ for all $\xi \in GL(k)$. Fixed $v_0 \in L\mathfrak{g}$ and let $F : L\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow GL(k)$ be defined by $v = v_0.F(v)$. Then, ${}^{\lambda}T(g,v) = (F(v))^t.{}^{\lambda}T(e,v_0).F(v)$ for all $(g,v) \in G \times L\mathfrak{g}$. So we have that

${}^{\lambda}T$ depends only of the parameter of $L\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if there exist $A \in R^{k\times k}$ and a differentiable function $F : L\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow GL(k)$ that satisfies $F(w.\xi) = F(w).\xi$, such that

$${}^{\lambda}T(g,w) = (F(w))^t.A.F(w)$$

Example 73 *Fixed $v \in L\mathfrak{g}$ and consider $\lambda^v = (G \times O(k),\psi,O(k),R,\{e_i^v\})$ where $\psi(g,\xi) = g$, $R_a(g,\xi) = (g,\xi a)$, $e_i^v(g,\xi) = H_i^{v.\xi}(g)$. λ is a s-space over G with base change morphism $L = Id_{O(k)}$. If T is a tensor of M, then ${}^{\lambda}T \circ R_a = a^t.{}^{\lambda}T.a$. Therefore, T is $\lambda - natural$ if and only if ${}^{\lambda}T(g,\xi) = f(g).Id_{k\times k}$ with $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a differentiable function. Is easy to see that ${}^{\lambda}T((g,\xi).a) = (\xi a)^t.{}^{\lambda}T(g,Id).(\xi a)$, hence the matrix representation of T depends only of the parameter of $O(k)$ if and only if ${}^{\lambda}T(g,\xi) = \xi^t.A.\xi$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$.*

8.2 Bundle metrics.

Let $\alpha = (P,\pi,G,\,\cdot\,)$ be a principal fiber bundle endowed with a connection ω on a Riemannian manifold (M,g). Let us denote with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{ad}}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of metrics on $\mathfrak{g}$ that are invariant by the adjoint map **ad**. Consider the metric on P defined by

$$h(p)(X,Y) = g(\pi(p))(\pi_{*_p}(X),\pi_{*_p}(Y)) + (l \circ \pi)(p)(\omega(X),\omega(Y)) \tag{1}$$

where $l : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{ad}}(\mathfrak{g})$. If G is compact, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{ad}}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \emptyset$, and if $\mathfrak{g}$ is also a semisimple algebra, then essentially there is (unless scalar multiplication) only one positive defined **ad**-invariant metric [14]. If l is a constant function, h is called a *bundle metric*. It is easy to see that $\pi : (P,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Let l_0 be an **ad**-invariant map on $\mathfrak{g}$. We are going to consider the s-space $\lambda = (N, \psi, O, R, \{e_i\})$ over P given by $N = \{(q, u, v, g) : q \in P,\ u$ is an orthonormal base of $M_{\pi(q)}$, v is an orthonormal base of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to l_0 and $g \in G\}$, $\psi(q, u, v, g) = q.g$, $O = O(n) \times O(k) \times G$ and the action is defined by $R_{(a,b,h)}(q, u, v, g) = (qh, ua, vb, h^{-1}g)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $e_i(q, u, v, g)$ is the horizontal lift with respect to ω of u_i at $q.g$ and, for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $e_{n+j}(q, u, v, g)$ is the unique vertical vector on $P_{p.g}$ such that $\omega(q.g)(e_{n+j}(q, u, v, g)) = v_j$. λ is a trivial s-space over α.

Let G be a compact Lie group with $\mathfrak{g}$ a semisimple algebra and h a metric on P of the type of (1). Then, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 74 *h is $\lambda - natural$ with respect to α if and only if h is a bundle metric.*

Proof. By definition ${}^{\lambda}h(q, u, v, g)$ is the matrix of $h(q.g)$ with respect to de base $\{e_i(q, u, v, g)$ $, e_{n+i}(q, u, v, g)\}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have that:

$$h(q.g)(e_i(q, u, v, g), e_j(q, u, v, g)) = g(u_i, u_j) + 0 = \delta_{ij}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$:

$$h(qg)(e_i(q, u, v, g), e_{n+j}(q, u, v, g)) = 0 = h(qg)(e_{n+j}(q, u, v, g), e_i(q, u, v, g))$$

and for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$:

$$h(q.g)(e_{n+i}(q, u, v, g), e_{n+j}(q, u, v, g)) = l \circ \pi(qg)(v_i, v_j) = f(\pi(q)).\delta_{ij}$$

because $\mathfrak{g}$ has essentially one **ad** $-$ *invariant metric*. Since

$${}^{\lambda}h(q, u, v, g) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & f(\pi(q)).Id_{k\times k} \end{pmatrix}$$

h is $\lambda - natural$ with respect to α if and only if f is a constant map, that is to say that h is a bundle metric. ☐

Remark 75 *If $\mathfrak{g}$ has different **ad** $-$ invariant metrics, and h is a metric of the type of (1), then ${}^{\lambda}h : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n+k)\times(n+k)}$ only depends of the parameter of G if $l = \delta.l_0$ with δ a constant. In general, the metrics of type (1) that are $\lambda - natural$ with respect to α are the bundle metrics induced by the **ad** $-$ invariant metric l_0.*

Remark 76 *The s-space λ depends of the metric l_0 and of the connection ω. Let ω' be another connection on α and consider the s-space λ' induced by it. The difference between the connection are the horizontal subspaces that each one determine and the difference between λ^{ω} and $\lambda^{\omega'}$ are the maps $e_i : N \longrightarrow TP$ and $e'_i : N \longrightarrow TP$. Let $A(p, u, v, g) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(p, u, v, g) & a_2(p, u, v, g) \\ a_4(p, u, v, g) & a_3(p, u, v, g) \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n+k)$ be the matricial map that satisfies $\{e'_i, e'_{n+j}\} = \{e_i, e_{n+j}\}.A$ where $a_1(p, u, v, g) \in R^{n\times n}$, $a_2(p, u, v, g) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times k}$, $a_3(p, u, v, g) \in$*

$\mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$ *and* $a_4(p,u,v,g) \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times n}$*. Since* $e_{n+j}(p,u,v,g) = e'_{n+j}(p,u,v,g)$*, we have that* $a_2 \equiv 0$ *and* $a_3 \equiv Id_{k\times k}$*. If* T *is a tensor, then*

$$\lambda^{\omega'} T(p,u,v,g) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^t(p,u,v,g) & a_4^t(p,u,v,g) \\ 0 & Id_{k\times k} \end{pmatrix} . \lambda^{\omega} T(p,u,v,g). \begin{pmatrix} a_1(p,u,v,g) & 0 \\ a_4(p,u,v,g) & Id_{k\times k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose as in the proposition above that there is essentially one $\boldsymbol{ad}-$ *invariant metric. Then if* h *is a metric of type (1) we have that*

$$\lambda^{\omega'} h(p,u,v,g) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1^t(p,u,v,g)a_1(p,u,v,g) + f(\pi(p))a_4^t(p,u,v,g).a_4(p,u,v,g) & f(\pi(p)).a_4^t(p,u,v,g) \\ f(\pi(p))a_4(p,u,v,g) & f(\pi(p)).Id_{k\times k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, if the connections satisfy that $a_1 \in O(n)$ *and* a_4 *is a constant map, then* h *is* $\lambda-$ *natural with respect to* α *if and only if* h *is* $\lambda'-$ *natural with respect to* α*. In this situation* h *is a bundle metric.*

References

[1] Araujo, J. and Keilhauer, G.R.: *Natural Tensor field of Type (0,2) on the Tangent and Cotangent Bundle of a Semi-Riemannian Manifold.* Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat. Mathematica **39**, (2000), 7-16.

[2] Calvo, M.C. and Keilhauer, G.R.: *Tensor Field of Type (0,2) on the Tangent Bundle of a Riemannian Manifold.* Geometriae Dedicata **71**, (1998), 209-219.

[3] Dieudonné, J.: *Elementos de Análisis.* Tomo III, Editorial Reverté S.A., 354 pp (1983).

[4] L.A. Cordero and M. De León, *On the curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the frame bundle of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), **65**, (1986), 1: 81-91.

[5] Dubrovin, B.A., Fomenko, A.T. and Novikov, S.P.: *Modern Geometry, Methods and Applications. Part II, The Geometry and Topology of Manifolds.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 104. Springer-Verlag, New York, 430 pp (1985).

[6] Henry, G. and Keilhauer, G.R.: *Some relationships between the geometry of the tangent bundle and the geometry of the Riemannian base manifold, preprint.*

[7] Keilhauer, G.R.: *Tensor Field of Type (0,2) on Linear Frame bundles and Cotangent Bundles.* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **103**, (2000) 51-64.

[8] Kolar, I., Michor, P. and Slovak, J.: *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 434 pp (1993).

[9] Kowalski, O. and Sekisawa, M.: *Natural Transformation of Riemannian Metrics on Manifolds to Metrics on Tangent Bundles- a Classification*. Bull Tokyo Gakugei. Univ. **4**, (1988), 1-29.

[10] Kowalski, O. and Sekisawa, M.: *Natural Transformation of Riemannian Metrics on Manifolds to Metrics on Linear Frame Bundles- a Classification*. Differential Geometry and its Applications. Proceedings of the Conference, August 24- 30, Brno, Czechoslovakia, (1986), 149-178.

[11] Krupka, D.: *Elementary Theory of Differential Invariants*. Arch. Math. (Brno) **14** , no. 4, (1978), 207–214.

[12] Krupka, D. and Janyka, J.: *Lectures on differential invariants*. Folia Facultatis Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Purkynianae Brunensis. Mathematica, 1. University J. E. Purkyne, Brno, 195 pp (1990).

[13] Michor, P.: *Gauge Theory for Fibers Bundles*. Extended version of a series of lectures held at the Institute of Physics of the University of Napoli, (1988).

[14] Milnor, J.: *Curvatures of Lef Invariant Metrics on Lie Groups*. Advances in Mathematics, **21**, (1976), 293-329.

[15] K.P. Mok, *On the differential geometry of frame bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **302**, (1978), 16-31.

[16] O'Neill, B.: *The fundamental equations of a submersion*. Michigan Math. J., **13**, (1966), 459-469.

GUILLERMO HENRY
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, BUENOS AIRES, C1428EHA, ARGENTINA
e-mail address: ghenry@dm.uba.ar